The present report is a compilation of the information contained in the reports of treaty bodies, special procedures, including observations and comments by the State concerned, and other relevant official United Nations documents. It does not contain any opinions, views or suggestions on the part of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) other than those contained in public reports issued by OHCHR. It follows the structure of the general guidelines adopted by the Human Rights Council. The information included herein has been systematically referenced in endnotes. The periodicity of the review for the first cycle being four years, most of the documents used as reference are dated after 1 January 2004. In the absence of recent information, the latest available reports and documents have also been taken into consideration, unless they are outdated. Since this report only compiles information contained in official United Nations documents, lack of information or focus on specific issues may be due to non-ratification of a treaty and/or to a low level of interaction or cooperation with international human rights mechanisms.

* The information and references contained in the present document have not been verified by United Nations editors prior to submission for translation.
I. BACKGROUND AND FRAMEWORK

A. Scope of international obligations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core universal human rights treaties</th>
<th>Date of ratification, accession or succession</th>
<th>Declarations/reservations</th>
<th>Recognition of specific competences of treaty bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICERD</td>
<td>28 July 1971</td>
<td>Arts. 4, 6 and 15</td>
<td>Individual complaints (art. 14): Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICESCR</td>
<td>4 Nov. 1980</td>
<td>Arts. 6, 8, 9, 11, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR</td>
<td>4 Nov. 1980</td>
<td>Arts. 4 (1), 9, 13, 14, 14 (5), 20 (1), 21, 22 and 27</td>
<td>Inter-State complaints (art. 41): No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR-OP 1</td>
<td>17 Feb. 1984</td>
<td>Arts. 1 and 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR-OP 2</td>
<td>2 Oct. 2007</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW</td>
<td>14 Dec. 1983</td>
<td>Arts. 9, 14, 2 (c), (h), 16, 1 (g) and 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-CEDAW</td>
<td>9 June 2000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Inquiry procedure (arts. 8 and 9): Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>18 Feb. 1986</td>
<td>Art. 30(1)</td>
<td>Inter-State complaints (art. 21): Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Individual complaints (art. 22): Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inquiry procedure (art. 20): Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>7 Aug. 1990</td>
<td>Arts. 6, 30 and 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC-OP-AC</td>
<td>5 Feb. 2003</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC-OP-SC</td>
<td>5 Feb. 2003</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other main relevant international instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratification, accession or succession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palermo Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugees and stateless persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 and Additional Protocols thereto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO fundamental conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. In 2007, the Committee against Torture (CAT) urged France to ratify the OP-CAT. In 2008, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) encouraged France to ratify the treaties to which it is not yet a party, namely ICRMW, CPD and CED. The Human Rights Committee (HR Committee) called upon France to reconsider withdrawing its reservations and declarations to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). CEDAW called upon France to finalize as soon as possible the procedure of withdrawal of its reservation to article 14, 2 (c), and withdraw its declarations and reservations to article 14, 2 (h) and article 16, 1 (g). The Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC) urged France to withdraw its reservation to article 30 of the Convention, while the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR) called on the State to withdraw its reservation to article 27 of the ICCPR. The independent expert on minority issues endorsed these two recommendations.
2. CESC\{R\} and the independent expert on minority issues\textsuperscript{15} recommended that France ratify the Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Protection of National Minorities; CESC\{R\} also recommended the ratification of the European Charter for Regional and Minority Languages.\textsuperscript{16}

3. In accordance with its international obligations under article 4.3 of ICCPR, France notified that a state of emergency, owing to the 2005 outburst of urban violence, was established on 8 November 2005 and lifted from 4 January 2006.\textsuperscript{17}

\textbf{B. Constitutional and legislative framework}

4. In 1997, the HR Committee noted that article 55 of the French Constitution provides for the direct applicability of ICCPR and its primacy over domestic law,\textsuperscript{18} while in 2005 CESC\{R\} expressed concern that, despite this constitutional provision, ICESC\{R\} and its provisions are not considered directly applicable by some courts.\textsuperscript{19} In 2008, CEDAW also regretted that no court decisions had so far referred to the Convention.\textsuperscript{20}

\textbf{C. Institutional and human rights infrastructure}

5. CESC\{R\} noted the existence of two national human rights institutions, the National Consultative Commission of Human Rights (\textit{Commission Nationale Consultative des Droits de l'Homme} (CNCDH) and the National Ombudsman (\textit{Le Médiateur de la République}).\textsuperscript{21} The CNCDH was accredited with “A” status by ICC in October 2007.\textsuperscript{22} The Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) welcomed the role played by the CNCDH in efforts to combat racial discrimination,\textsuperscript{23} and CRC noted its advisory role on legislation relating to the Convention.\textsuperscript{24} CERD also welcomed the establishment of an Observatory for Immigration and Integration Statistics in 2005.\textsuperscript{25}

6. In 2005, CAT commended the establishment of the National Commission on Security Ethics, which provides comprehensive reports on the behaviour of police officers; as well as the establishment of the National Commission for the Monitoring of Holding Centres and Facilities and Waiting Areas to ensure respect for the rights of foreigners who are denied admission on the State’s territory.\textsuperscript{26} CRC welcomed the establishment of an Ombudsman for Children, as well as a Commission of Inquiry on the Rights of the Child.\textsuperscript{27} It further welcomed the establishment of the National Monitoring Centre for At-risk Children (\textit{Observatoire national de l’enfance en danger}).\textsuperscript{28} CERD, the independent expert on Minority Issues\textsuperscript{29} and an ILO Committee of Experts\textsuperscript{30} welcomed the establishment of the High Authority against Discrimination and for Equality (HALDE).\textsuperscript{31} and CEDAW commended in particular its mandate to investigate complaints of discrimination against women.\textsuperscript{32} The independent expert also noted that the Inter-Ministerial Committee to Combat Racism, Anti-Semitism and Xenophobia, inactive since 2005, should be reinstated and convened on a regular basis.\textsuperscript{33}

\textbf{D. Policy measures}

7. In 2008, CEDAW welcomed the gender mainstreaming policies launched by France, as well as the increase in gender budgeting, and expressed appreciation for gender policies on international development.\textsuperscript{34}
II. PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS ON THE GROUND

A. Cooperation with human rights mechanisms

1. Cooperation with treaty bodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty body</th>
<th>Latest report submitted and considered</th>
<th>Latest concluding observations</th>
<th>Follow-up response</th>
<th>Reporting status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Jan. 2008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Combined seventh to eighth reports due in 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>June 2004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Combined third and fourth reports scheduled for consideration in 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC-OP-AC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Oct. 2007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Information to be included in combined third and fourth reports to CRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC-OP-SC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Oct. 2007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Information to be included in combined third and fourth reports to CRC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Cooperation with special procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standing invitation issued</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latest visits or mission reports</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur on the sale of children (25 to 29 November 2002)(^{36}) Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief (18 to 29 September 2005)(^{37}) The independent expert on minority issues (19 to 28 September 2007)(^{38})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits agreed upon in principle</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits requested and not yet agreed upon</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitation/cooperation during missions</td>
<td>The Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief wishes to thank the French authorities for the cooperation they extended to her during her visit.(^{39}) The independent expert on minority issues expressed her thanks to the Government of France for the excellent assistance and cooperation extended to her during the preparation and conduct of her visit and to those government officials with whom she met.(^{40})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up to visits</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses to letters of allegation and urgent appeals</td>
<td>Between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2007, a total of 17 communications were sent to the Government. In addition to particular groups (e.g. Minorities, religious and ethnic groups etc.), these communications concerned 14 individuals, including 5 women. During the same period France replied to 10 communications (59 per cent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses to questionnaires on thematic issues(^{41})</td>
<td>France responded to 1 of the 12 questionnaires sent by special procedures mandate-holders(^{42}) between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2007, within the deadlines.(^{43})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Cooperation with the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights


B. Implementation of international human rights obligations

1. Equality and non-discrimination

9. In 2004, CRC called on France to take steps to prevent and combat the persistence of practices of discrimination based on origin, colour, religion, or other status. In 2006, an ILO Committee of Experts noted that despite an abundance of laws and advisory bodies, racial discrimination persisted and was even worsening. In 2005, CERD expressed concern relating increased incidence of racist, anti-Semitic and xenophobic acts, and urged France, inter alia, to apply more effectively existing provisions designed to combat such acts and to create greater awareness on the part of law enforcement personnel. CERD called on France to take the necessary preventive measures to halt racist incidents involving members of the security forces and other public officials.

10. CERD drew attention to the situation of immigrant women, who sometimes fall victim to twofold discrimination. In its follow-up responses, France provided information on steps taken to address the situation of foreign women, in particular by facilitating their social and economic integration. CERD in turn requested that information on results achieved be included in the next periodic report. CEDAW urged the Government to take effective measures to eliminate all forms of discrimination against immigrant women in accessing basic social services. The Committee was especially concerned about restrictive family reunification practices, which mostly affect women, such as DNA and language proficiency tests.

11. CERD expressed concern about the unfavorable situation of immigrants with regard to housing, employment and education, and encouraged the State to follow the recommendations contained in the 2004 expanded report of the Court of Audit (Cour des comptes) on the reception of immigrants and the integration of population groups of immigrant origin in this respect. In its responses to CERD, the State provided information on follow-up measures taken to facilitate access by individuals of immigrant origin to employment. In its reply, CERD requested that further information on the implementation of the recommendations of the Court of Audit be included in the next periodic report.

2. Right to life, liberty and security of the person

12. In 2003, following the death, in separate incidents, of two asylum-seekers in the course of their forcible removal, the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants sent a communication to which the Government replied assuring that in one case, the law enforcement officials involved in the removal promptly reacted to save the asylum-seeker and that in the other case, two enquiries were carried out by relevant authorities. Referring to the same cases, CAT, while noting that new instructions that authorize only professional techniques complying with medical regulations were issued, urged the State to allow the presence of human rights observers or independent doctors throughout the removal process.

13. In 2005 and 2007 respectively, CAT adopted Views on two individual communications where the complainants were due to be expelled from France to third countries where they were at risk of being subjected to torture. In both cases, the Committee requested interim measures, which were
not implemented by the State. Consequently, the Committee found a violation of articles 3 and 22 of the Convention.\(^{46}\) In 2004, the Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances expressed its concern on the case of a foreigner who had reportedly lived in France for more than seven years and had allegedly asked for the regularization of his status. Following his expulsion, his whereabouts have remained unknown.\(^{61}\) The French authorities stated that they had requested the authorities of the country of origin to assist in finding him.\(^{62}\)

14. CAT remained concerned about the poor detention conditions in prisons and in administrative retention centres, and about the increase in violence among detainees as well as in the number of suicides reported to it. CAT recommended the setting up of a national mechanism to conduct periodic visits to places of detention\(^{63}\) and urged France to ensure that solitary confinement remains an exceptional measure of limited duration.\(^{64}\)

15. CRC\(^{65}\) and the HR Committee\(^{66}\) were both concerned about detention of minor suspects in police custody for up to four days, and the detention by the police of children aged 10 to 13 years, for up to 24 hours. CRC called on France to use detention, including pretrial detention, only as a measure of last resort, for as short a time as possible and ensure that minors are separated from adults.\(^{67}\) while the HR Committee recommended that measures be taken to reduce the length of pretrial detention and to ensure legal aid to juveniles.\(^{68}\)

16. In 2005, CAT remained concerned about reports of incidents of police violence, including cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment inside holding areas, particularly against people of non-Western origin, and recommended that the State enable the National Commission for the Monitoring of Holding Centres and Facilities and Waiting Areas to begin its work as soon as possible.\(^{69}\) France informed CAT that the Commission started its inspections in April 2006.\(^{70}\)

17. In 2005, CERD expressed concern about the situation of non-citizens and asylum-seekers in holding centres and areas, and recommended strengthening the supervision of police personnel responsible for these centres.\(^{71}\) In its follow-up responses, France provided information on steps taken to improve the living conditions and the monitoring of these facilities.\(^{72}\) CERD in turn requested that additional information be included in the next periodic report of France on the supervision of police officers and monitoring of holding facilities.\(^{73}\) In 2005, the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants sent a communication to the Government expressing similar concerns without receiving a reply.\(^{74}\)

18. In 2008, CEDAW recommended that France take measures to address all forms of violence against women, including domestic violence. It recommended strengthening the cooperation between the police, public prosecutor and civil society in the prevention of violence against women.\(^{75}\) The Special Rapporteur on violence against women expressed her concern about the lack of a body responsible for documenting violence against women, especially homicides resulting from domestic violence. Such an entity would allow for better monitoring and prevention of domestic violence. In 2004, the Government indicated that it made the investigation and prevention of marital violence a priority.\(^{76}\)

19. CEDAW was concerned about the increase in trafficking, in particular of women and girls.\(^{77}\) CRC expressed concern about the incidence of trafficking of children, prostitution and related issues.\(^{78}\) CRC also urged France to pursue measures to dismantle trafficking and exploitation networks, in particular of foreign children;\(^{79}\) and to develop a comprehensive programme to address child pornography.\(^{80}\)
3. Administration of justice and the rule of law

20. In 2005, CAT reminded France that failure to comply with the Committee’s request for interim measures is a serious breach of its obligations under article 22 of the Convention, and recommended that the State take all necessary measures to ensure that any such request is strictly complied with in the future. CAT recommended that the National Commission on Security Ethics be enabled to accept cases referred to it directly by any person who claims to have been subjected to torture.

21. CAT urged France to consider abrogating the system of discretionary prosecution, which gives State prosecutors the option of not prosecuting perpetrators of acts of torture in which police officers are implicated, in contravention of article 12 of the Convention. The HR Committee recommended taking measures to guarantee that all investigations and prosecutions for ill-treatment are undertaken in full compliance with the provisions of ICCPR, noting with concern that, in most cases there is little, if any, investigation of complaints of ill-treatment by the authorities. This results, according to the HR Committee, in virtual impunity.

22. CAT expressed concern about the special procedure applicable in cases of organized crime and delinquency, which may delay access to a lawyer until the seventy-second hour of police custody; about the frequent resort to pretrial detention and the duration of such detention. It recommended guaranteeing access to a lawyer within the first few hours of police custody, reducing the length of pretrial detention and its use.

4. Right to family life

23. CRC encouraged the State to ensure that the right of the child to know his or her parents be fully enforced. CRC recommended that France ensure that cases of inter-country adoption be dealt with in accordance with the principles and provisions of the Convention, in particular article 21, and the Hague Convention of 1993.

5. Freedom of religion or belief and right to participate in public and political life

24. While the Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief recognized that the organization of a society according to the principle of the separation of Church and State guarantees the fundamental right to freedom of religion or belief, she was concerned that, in some circumstances, the selective interpretation and rigid application of this principle has operated at the expense of the above-mentioned right. The Special Rapporteur considered that the policy of the Government may have contributed to a climate of general suspicion and intolerance. In 1996, the publication by a National Assembly’s commission, of a list containing the names of almost one thousand main and subsidiary belief groups has negatively affected the right to freedom of religion or belief of some of their members.

25. The Special Rapporteur noted that in recent times the French authorities have adopted a more balanced approach to the phenomenon of sects. A number of improvements nevertheless remain to be carried out, inter alia, to avoid the stigmatization of members of certain religious groups or communities of belief. The Special Rapporteur urged the Government to ensure that its mechanisms, in particular the Inter-ministerial Mission to monitor and combat abuse by sects (MIVILUDES), dealing with religious groups or communities of belief, deliver a message based on the principle that no one can be judged for his actions other than through the appropriate judicial channels.
26. In 2006, the Special Rapporteur expressed her concern about, in particular, Law 2004-228, of 15 March 2004, on the wearing of conspicuous religious symbols in public schools. She was of the opinion that this law has mainly affected certain religious minorities, and notably people of a Muslim background. In her opinion, its implementation has led, in a number of cases, to abuses that have resulted in humiliation. The stigmatization of the headscarf has provoked instances of religious intolerance when women wear it outside school, at university or in the workplace.

27. The independent expert on minority issues recommended that the Government undertake more aggressive strategies to increase the number of people with immigrant heritage in the public service, particularly the police, civil service and the judiciary, in order better to reflect the broad diversity within French citizenry.

6. Right to work and to just and favourable conditions of work

28. In 2008, CEDAW called on France to ensure de facto equality for women in the labour market, to take steps to eliminate occupational segregation and to close the wage gap between women and men. The Committee also recommended assisting women with disabilities to access the labour market.

29. In 2005, an ILO Committee of Experts welcomed the Government’s adoption of a “Diversity Charter” in October 2004, and noted a report, commissioned by the Ministry of Labour, Social Cohesion and Housing in 2005, confirming that ethnic origin continues to be an obstacle at the recruitment stage, regardless of the level of education or qualifications of the applicant.

7. Right to social security and to an adequate standard of living

30. In 2004, CRC called on France to develop a mental health programme for adolescents, taking into account the high rate of suicide in this age.

31. In 2007, the independent expert on minority issues welcomed proposed initiatives at ministerial level to improve housing and living conditions in French suburbs and emphasized that priority should be given to ensuring that new or renovated housing is first offered to long-term residents of such suburbs. CESC recommended that France continue to develop policies on how to address the situation of the homeless adequately in a long-term perspective, and not merely as a matter of urgency.

32. CEDAW urged France to develop an overall strategy to improve the situation of older and rural women. It also recommended increasing the minimum old-age pension, and improving the retirement pensions for people in agriculture and crafts.

8. Right to education and to participate in the cultural life of the community

33. CERD, CRC, CEDAW, the Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief and the independent expert on minority issues recommended that France monitor Law 2004-228 of 15 March 2004 governing the wearing of clothing denoting religious affiliation in State primary and secondary schools, to ensure that it has no discriminatory effects. In 2006, an ILO Committee of Experts noted that for the school year 2003-2004 about 600 pupils resisted complying with this Act, and that for 2004-2005, 47 definitive expulsions were pronounced. The Committee feared that in practice the Act might end up keeping some children, particularly girls, away from public schools.
34. The independent expert on minority issues recommended that the right to education in mainstream schools for children of Gypsy/Traveller families is guaranteed and that steps are taken to protect the right of those children to not be segregated into schools or classes for the learning impaired, when there is no evidence of need.\textsuperscript{109} CRC urged France to ensure that children with disabilities may exercise their right to education and facilitate their integration into the mainstream education system.\textsuperscript{110}

9. Minorities and indigenous peoples

35. The independent expert on minority issues noted that France has historically rejected the concept of minority rights and recognition of minority groups or collective rights on the basis of invoking incompatibility with the French Constitution.\textsuperscript{111} In addition, CESCR\textsuperscript{112} and the HR Committee\textsuperscript{113} also expressed concern about the lack of recognition of minorities in France. CESCR\textsuperscript{114} and CRC\textsuperscript{115} emphasized that equality before the law is not always adequate to ensure the equal enjoyment of human rights, by certain minority groups such as Roma. CRC\textsuperscript{116} and CESCR\textsuperscript{117} equally suggested that France review its position on minorities, ensuring that minority groups are recognized and protected as such. CESCR recommended that France increase efforts to preserve regional and minority culture.\textsuperscript{118} CERD also encouraged France to promote the teaching of the languages of certain ethnic groups in its education system.\textsuperscript{119}

36. In 2006, an ILO Committee of Experts noted that a National Advisory Commission on Travellers (\textit{gens du voyage}) had been created with the aim, among others, to provide a forum for national dialogue and to study the specific problems encountered by travellers and make proposals to improve their integration.\textsuperscript{120} CERD recommended that France provide travellers with improved facilities and infrastructures, and combat their exclusion more effectively in the fields of education, employment and access to health services.\textsuperscript{121} In its responses, the State provided information, inter alia, on the National Advisory Commission on Travellers which began its work in 2006.\textsuperscript{122} CERD in turn requested France to include further information in its next report on the implementation of legislation adopted, as well as on statistical data relating to children’s access to education.\textsuperscript{123}

10. Migrants, refugees and asylum-seekers

37. In 2007, CAT recommended that France consider the introduction of a procedure based on article 3 of the Convention, with a view to ensuring absolute protection for anyone at risk of torture if he or she is returned to a third State.\textsuperscript{124} The Committee, as also highlighted by UNHCR,\textsuperscript{125} urged France to ensure that applications for asylum by persons from States to which the concepts of “internal asylum” or “safe country of origin” apply, are examined with due consideration for the applicant’s personal situation.\textsuperscript{126} CRC expressed concern, also highlighted by UNHCR,\textsuperscript{127} about the methods in place to deal with asylum-seekers and unaccompanied children in waiting zones of airports, and recommended that the principle of non-discrimination be included in judicial or administrative proceedings.\textsuperscript{128}

38. CRC expressed concern, also highlighted by UNHCR,\textsuperscript{129} at the length of family reunification procedures for recognized refugees.\textsuperscript{130} CERD expressed similar concerns in 2005 and both CERD and CRC recommended, as also highlighted by UNHCR,\textsuperscript{131} that France process applications from refugees for family reunification as speedily as possible.\textsuperscript{132}
11. Human rights and counter-terrorism

39. The Special Rapporteur on the question of torture notified the Government that he had received information alleging cases of torture concerning four individuals. In the framework of anti-terrorism activities, they were arrested in 2003, detained at an undisclosed location, submitted to torture and degrading treatment.\textsuperscript{133} CAT expressed concern about the number of the allegations it has received regarding the ill-treatment by law enforcement officers of detainees and other persons, and recommended that all law enforcement officers receive adequate training.\textsuperscript{134} In its responses, the Government provided information on the various training modules.\textsuperscript{135}

40. In 2006, the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of human rights while countering terrorism expressed concern to the Government about modifications to certain provisions of the Penal Code and about a broad definition for acts of terrorism, which, should it be a risk of an imminent terrorist action, would include serious restrictions of the safeguards of detained persons.\textsuperscript{136}

12. Situation in or in relation to specific regions or territories

41. CRC was concerned that discrimination persists, in particular in the field of economic and social rights, especially with respect to children residing in Overseas Departments and Territories, and reiterated its recommendation to minimize disparities.\textsuperscript{137} CERD noted that, for some local population groups in overseas communities, the fact that they do not have a full command of French may affect their enjoyment of their rights, notably their access to justice, and recommended that these groups benefit from the services of translators/interpreters, especially in judicial proceedings.\textsuperscript{138} CAT\textsuperscript{139} and CEDAW\textsuperscript{140} noted that the reports submitted by France contained no information on the implementation of the Conventions in overseas departments and territories. CAT called on France to include such information in the next periodic report, as well as information on the implementation of the Convention in territories that are not under its jurisdiction but where its armed forces are deployed.\textsuperscript{141}

42. In 2006, the United Nations Special Committee on decolonization adopted a draft resolution in which all parties involved in the question of the status of New Caledonia were urged to continue promoting a framework for the peaceful progress of that Territory towards an act of self-determination, and that such a framework would safeguard the rights of all New Caledonians, especially the indigenous Kanak people.\textsuperscript{142} In March 2006, the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and fundamental freedoms of indigenous people wrote to the Government that he had received information about ongoing exploitation of mines in a territory belonging to the Kanak people, without their consent.\textsuperscript{143}

III. ACHIEVEMENTS, BEST PRACTICES, CHALLENGES AND CONSTRAINTS

43. CRC commended France on the adoption of new laws and regulations related to the Convention, inter alia the Act of 17 June 1998 on the prevention and suppression of sex offences and the protection of minors, and the Act of 6 February 2001 on inter-country adoption.\textsuperscript{144} CRC also welcomed the adoption of laws and regulations related to CRC-OP-SC.\textsuperscript{145}
IV. KEY NATIONAL PRIORITIES, INITIATIVES AND COMMITMENTS

A. Pledges by the State

44. The Government of France sent a letter to the President of the General Assembly, on 9 May 2006, regarding the voluntary pledges and commitments of France in the field of human rights, to support its candidature as a member of the Human Rights Council.¹⁴⁶

B. Specific recommendations for follow-up

45. CERD requested information on the follow-up given to the Committee’s recommendations¹⁴⁷ on the situation of immigrants and population groups of immigrant origin in the field of employment and education; on the conditions in which non-citizens and asylum-seekers are detained in holding centres and areas; and on travellers’ access to education, employment, social security and the health system.¹⁴⁸ France provided timely responses¹⁴⁹ which the Committee considered at its seventieth session. CERD in turn requested that additional information be included in France’s next periodic report.¹⁵⁰

46. CAT requested information by November 2006 on measures taken to implement recommendations¹⁵¹ relating to the obligation of the State to guarantee that no person who is in danger of being subjected to torture if returned to a third State, is expelled; the training of law enforcement personnel on the provisions of the Convention; and the importance of enabling the National Commission for the Monitoring of Holding Centres and Facilities and Waiting Areas to begin its work promptly.¹⁵² France provided responses on time on follow-up measures taken. These responses continue to be under review.¹⁵³

47. The independent expert on minority issues recommended the collection of data regarding the socio-economic status of the population disaggregated by ethnic and religious identities, as well as along gender lines, as a tool to reveal the extent of social problems experienced by different ethnic and religious minority groups. Such data will assist in the development of appropriate and effective policies and practices to combat the effects of discrimination.¹⁵⁴

Notes

¹ Unless indicated otherwise, the status of ratifications of the instruments listed in the table may be found in Multilateral Treaties Deposited with the Secretary-General: Status as at 31 December 2006 (ST/LEG/SER.E.25), supplemented by the official website of the United Nations Treaty Collection database, Office of Legal Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat, http://untreaty.un.org/.

² The following abbreviations have been used for this document:

ICERD  International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
ICESCR  International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICCPR  International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICCPR-OP 1  Optional Protocol to ICCPR
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